Pyroelectric Infrared (PIR) Sensor Based Event Detection

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING AND THE INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCES OF BİLKENT UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

> By Emin Birey Soyer July 2009

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Prof. Dr. Enis Çetin(Supervisor)

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Uğur Güdükbay

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Asst. Prof. Dr. Sinan Gezici

Approved for the Institute of Engineering and Sciences:

Prof. Dr. Mehmet Baray Director of Institute of Engineering and Sciences

ABSTRACT

Pyroelectric Infrared (PIR) Sensor Based Event Detection

Emin Birey Soyer

M.S. in Electrical and Electronics Engineering Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Enis Çetin July 2009

Pyroelectric Infra-red (PIR) sensors have been extensively used in indoor and outdoor applications as they are low cost, easy to use and widely available. PIR sensors respond to IR radiating objects moving in its viewing range. The current sensors give an output of logical one when they detect a hot object's motion and a logical zero when there is no moving hot object. In this method, only moving objects can be detected and the rate of false alarm is high.

New types of PIR sensors are more sophisticated and more capable. They have a lower false alarm ratio compared to classical ones. Although they can distinguish pets and humans, again they can only be used for detection of hot object motions due to the limitations caused by the usage of the simple comparator structure inside. This structure is unalterable, not flexible for development, and not suitable for implementing algorithms.

A new approach is developed to use PIR sensors by modifying the sensor circuitry. Instead of directly using the output of a classical PIR sensor, an analog signal is extracted from the PIR output and it is sampled. As a result, intelligent signal processing algorithms can be developed using the discrete-time sensor signal. In this way, it is possible to develop human, pet and flame detection methods. It is also possible to find the direction of moving objects and estimate their distances from the sensor. Furthermore, the path of a moving target can be estimated using a PIR sensor array.

We focus on object and event classification using sampled PIR sensor signals. Pet, human and flame detection methods are comparatively investigated. Different human motion events are modeled and classifed using Hidden Markov Models (HMM) and Conditional Gaussian Mixture Models (CGMMs). The sampled data is wavelet transformed for feature extraction and then fed into HMMs for analysis. The final decision is reached according to the Markov Model producing the highest probability. Experimental results demonstrate the reliability of the proposed HMM based decision and event classification algorithm.

Keywords: Pyroelectric infra-red (PIR) sensor, flame detection, pet detection, human detection, event detection, wavelet transform, Hidden Markov Models, Conditional Gaussian Mixture Models, classification, Bayesian Statistics, Least-Mean-Square (LMS) algorithm

ÖZET

PİROELEKTRİK KIZILBERİSİ ALGILAYICI TABANLI OLAY TESPİTİ

Emin Birey Soyer Elektrik ve Elektronik Mühendisliği Bölümü Yüksek Lisans Tez Yöneticisi: Prof. Dr. Enis Çetin Temmuz 2009

Piroelektrik Kızılötesi (PİK) algılayıcılar, ucuz, kolay kullanımlı ve kolay bulunabilir olduklarından dolayı, iç ve dış mekan uygulamalarında çoğunlukla kullanılmaktadır. PIR sensörler, gördükleri alanda hareket eden ve kızılötesi yayın yapan nesnelere tepki verir. Mevcut algılayıcılar, hareket eden sıcak bir nesne gördüklerinde mantıksal bir, hiç bir şey görmediklerinde ise mantıksal sıfır verirler. Bu yöntemle, sadece hareket eden nesneler tespit edilir ve hata oranı yüksektir.

Yeni nesil PIK algılayıcılar daha karmaşık ve daha yeteneklidir. Bilinen diğerlerine göre daha düşük bir hata oranına sahiptirler. İnsanlarla hayvanları birbirinden ayırabilmesine rağmen, basit bir kıyaslayıcı devresinin kullanılması nedeniyle, sadece hareket eden sıcak nesneleri algılamak için kullanılır. Bu yapı değiştirilemez, geliştirmek için esnek değildir ve algoritmaları uyumlamak için elverişli değildir.

Bu çalışmada, bu algılayıcıların kullanımı için yeni bir yaklaşım gerçekleştirilmiştir. Mevcut PİK algılayıcıların çıkışlarını doğrudan kullanmak yerine, piyasada bulunan PİK algılayıcılar uyarlanarak kullanılmıştır. Bu yapı sayesinde, PİK algılayıcıların örneksel çıkışları örneklenmiştir. Ayrıca, bu örneklere herhangi bir algoritma uygulanabilir. Bu yöntemle, insan, hayvan ve alev tespiti yapılabilmektedir. Yön bulma, yaklaşık mesafe bulma algoritmaları geliştirilebilmektedir. Ayrıca, algılayıcı dizisi kullanılarak hareket eden hedefin iz tespiti yapılabilir.

Bu çalışmada, PİK algılayıcıların örneklenmiş çıkışları kullanılarak, nesne ve olay ayrımı üzerine yoğunlaşılmıştır. Hayvan, insan ve alev tespiti, karşılıklı olarak araştırılmıştır. Farklı insan hareketleri modellenmiştir ve sınıflandırılmıştır. Hesaplama maliyetini az tutmak için, Hızlı Fourier Dönüşümü (HFD) yöntemleri kullanılmamıştır. Onun yerine, farklı nesneleri tanımlamak için bir raslantısal yöntem, Saklı Markov Modeli (SMM), kullanılmıştır ve farklı olayları tanımlamak için Koşullu Gauss Karışım Modelleri (KGKM) kullanılmıştır. Örneklenen veri, nitelik çıkartma için dalgacık dönüşümüne sürülür ve sonra analiz için SMM'ye verilir. Herbir SMM'de üretilen olasılıklardan yüksek olanına göre karar verilir. Farklı senaryolar içeren deneysel testler, önerilen SMM yönteminin güvenilirliğini göstermektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: piro-elektrik kızılberisi algılayıcı, alev tespiti, hayvan tespiti, insan tespiti, olay tespiti, dalgacık dönüşümü, saklı Markov modelleri, koşullu Gauss karışım modelleri, sınıflandırma, Bayes sayımlamaları, en küçük-ortalamakare algoritması

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. A. Enis Çetin for his guidance and valuable comments throughout my thesis and its directive actions for me to improve my skills. His wise knowledge inspired me in my research.

I would like to thank Prof. Uğur Güdükbay and Prof. Sinan Gezici for showing keen interest to subject matter and accepting to read and review my thesis.

Furthermore, I would like to thank Dr.Behçet Uğur Töreyin, Dr.Onay Urfalıoğlu for their guidance and valuable comments involving their experience. Also, I would like thank to my colleagues and closest friends M. Sencer Akyüz, Özkan Sağlam, for their unlimited support.

I wish to thank all of my friends and colleagues at ISYAM and our department for their collaboration and support. My special thanks go to Erdem Dengel,Yiğithan Dedeoğlu, Fatih Erden. I would also like to thank our department's secretary Mürüvet Parlakay for her assistance during my years in Bilkent.

Besides, I am grateful that Aselsan Inc. has given me opportunity to complete my Master's Degree. My colleagues also deserve my thankfulness for their continuing support and comments.

Finally, I would like to give my best gratitude to my family, for their support and encouragement to complete this thesis.

Contents

1 INTRODUCTION

2	Pyr	electric Infrared (PIR) Sensors	5
	2.1	IR Radiation	8
	2.2	Pyroelectricity	9
	2.3	Theory of Operation 10	0
	2.4	PID Sensor Module Configuration	1
		2.4.1 Fresnel Lens	1
		2.4.2 PIR Sensors $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 14$	4
		2.4.3 Amplifier Circuitry	7
		2.4.4 Comparator $\ldots 20$	0
	2.5	First Generation (Ordinary) Sensors	3
	2.6	Second Generation Sensors $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 24$	4
	2.7	Third Generation Sensors $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 2^{4}$	4

 $\mathbf{1}$

3	Pet	Detection	26
	3.1	Data Processing	27
	3.2	Experimental Results	31
	3.3	Conclusion	34
4	Flai	me Detection	35
	4.1	Data Acquisition	37
	4.2	Sensor Data Processing and HMMs	41
		4.2.1 Threshold Estimation for State Transitions	46
	4.3	Experimental Results	48
	4.4	Summary	49
5	Hur	nan Motion Event Detection	51
	5.1	PIR Event Detection System	52
		5.1.1 Event Classes	52
		5.1.2 Feature Extraction using Wavelet Domain Processing	53
		5.1.3 GMM Training	55
		5.1.4 Event Detection	55
	5.2	Experimental Results	56
	5.3	Conclusion	59

6 Conclusions and Future Work

60

Bibliography														•					•			•										•	(63	
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	----	--

List of Figures

2.1	A typical PID sensor block schema.	10
2.2	A plano convex lens and fresnel lens, adopted from [2]. \ldots .	11
2.3	Typical fresnel lens dimensions, adopted from [2]	12
2.4	An example pattern for D203S model PID, adopted from [3]	13
2.5	Three types of PIDs and their patterns, adopted from [4]	13
2.6	The pattern of the PID sensor used in this study, adopted from [12].	14
2.7	A PIR sensor and its equivalent circuit, adopted from [3]	15
2.8	IR filter spectral response, adopted from [3]	15
2.9	An example drawing of PIR response to a moving body, adopted	
	from [2]	16
2.10	A simple PID amplifier circuitry, adopted from [2]	17
2.11	Frequency response of the amplifier circuit	18
2.12	The output of the first stage and second stage amplifier. $\ . \ .$	18
2.13	Walking man at 2m distance to PIR sensor. The signal is sampled	
	with 50Hz	19

2.14	Background signal sampled with 50 Hz	20
2.15	A simple PID comparator circuitry, adopted from [2]	21
2.16	The second stage amplifier and comparator output for walking man at 2m.	22
2.17	The second stage amplifier and comparator output for background.	22
2.18	A simple PID comparator circuitry that can give the direction of motion, adopted from [2].	23
2.19	A typical PID circuitry, adopted from [2]	24
2.20	Typical third generation sensor circuitry	25
3.1	PIR sensor output signals recorded at a distance of 2m for (a) a human being, and (b) a pet	28
3.2	Three-state Markov models used to represent (a) 'pet' and (b) 'human' classes, respectively	29
3.3	Background data and its wavelet transform in absolute value (high-frequency component of the filter bank)	30
3.4	Time gaps of a pet motion that can be mis-classified as background.	33
3.5	Time gaps of a human motion that can be mis-classified as back- ground.	33
4.1	Flame flicker spectrum distribution	38
4.2	Background signal sampled with 50Hz	38
4.3	Walking man at 5 m	39

4.4	Flame at 5 m	39
4.5	Shaking hands at $1 m$	40
4.6	Single sided amplitude spectrum for background and man walking at 5 m	41
4.7	Single sided amplitude spectrum for flames at 5 m and shaking hands at 1 m	42
4.8	Absolute value of wavelet transform results for background and man walking at 5 m (high-frequency component of the filter bank).	43
4.9	Absolute value of wavelet transform results for flames at 5 m and shaking hands at 1 m (high-frequency component of the filter bank).	43
4.10	HMM models used for classifying fire and non-fire events	45
4.11	A typical plot of the dissimilarity function $D(T1, T2)$. It is multi- modal and non-differentiable.	47
4.12	The PIR sensor is encircled. The fire is close to die out completely.	
	A man is also within the viewing range of the sensor. No false alarm is issued for this case	49
5.1	Tangential (a) and radial motion (b) relative to a PIR sensor	53
5.2	Background (a) and its wavelet transform (b)	54
5.3	Typical sampled sensor signals for all 6 event classes	57

List of Tables

3.1	The results with 108 Human, 120 pet, 120 background test se-	
	quences. The system is connected to a PC and gives 0 for back-	
	ground, 1 for detection of a pet and 2 for detection of a human	
	within the viewing range of the PIR sensor	32
4.1	Results with 198 fire, 588 non-fire test sequences. The system	
	triggers an alarm when fire is detected within the viewing range	
	of the PIR sensor	49
5.1	Number of Gaussians M_e of each GMM p_e	57
5.9	Classification performance and confused classes for various motion	
0.2	Classification performance and confused classes for various motion	
	events.	58

To my family ...

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

A Pyroelectric Infrared (PIR) Sensor is a pyroelectric device that can sense infrared (IR) radiation changes within its viewing range. In other words, these sensors are sensitive to moving objects radiating IR light. A PIR sensor creates temporary electric potential whenever a change of IR radiation occurs on the viewing range of the sensor, but the electric potential generated is very small in amplitude and must be amplified significantly. That is because PIR sensors can not be used alone, instead they become one of the key components of a passive infrared device (PID) with some other circuitry. The basic structure of a PID contains four main structures: A Fresnel lens, a PIR sensor, an amplifier circuitry and a comparator plus time delayer circuitry. Structural details are given in Chapter 2.

Fresnel lens focuses IR radiation on PIR sensor and PIR sensor measures the change in the IR rate and creates an electric potential difference corresponding to the variation in the IR radiation. However, this potential difference is very small and must be amplified without introducing noise. The amplifier circuitry aims to have a large gain for the sensor signal and surpress the ambient noise at the same time. So, generally a two stage bandpass amplifier is used. The amplified sensor signal is then compared with a threshold. If the signal stays in the range determined by the threshold then it is assumed that no motion is observed, otherwise it is assumed that there is a moving target. This threshold based approach may generate false alarms. Therefore ordinary PIR sensors are simple and give an output of logical one when they detect a motion and a logical zero when there is no moving object within their viewing ranges.

The PIDs are low-cost, easy to use and widely available commercially. These practical features made them used in many indoor and outdoor applications including the security systems. However, as described above, simple PID structures may produce false alarms. For example, a PID located at the outside of a building can produce alarms to pets, or even wind. So, high false alarm rates reduce their reliability. That is because in most security applications they are used as an assistant device of a surveillance system. Another type of application seen in the market is to use an array of sensors to create a sensor network structure [39, 40] and analyze the total data taken from each sensor. This increases the success and reliability of the system.

In order to increase false alarm immunity and add extra features, there exist some research articles and patents on PIDs in the literature [5]-[11]. Some of these patents deal with the hardware structure inside the PID [5, 8, 9, 11], and noise reduction [7]. These describe better PIDs compared to commertially available ordinary ones. The new generation PIDs try to improve the basic structure of old ones by modifying the comparator circuitry. For example, patented autopulse signal processing and dual-edge processing systems are described in [4].

One of the major problems in the new generation PIDs is due to the analog hardware implementation of the algorithms. The analog hardware implementations are not flexible to implement sophisticated algorithms or to switch from an algorithm to another to adapt to changing environmental parameters. So the current analog hardware based approach is not a good solution because of its limited nature.

In this thesis, a new approach is developed to use PIR sensors by modifying

the PID sensor circuitry with the aim of extracting a discrete-time signal. Instead of directly using the output of a classical PIR sensor, an analog signal is extracted from the PIR output and it is sampled. As a result, intelligent signal processing algorithms can be developed using the discrete-time sensor signal. In this way, it is possible to develop human, pet, and flame detection methods. It is also possible to find the direction of moving objects and estimate their distance from the sensor. Furthermore, the path of a moving target can be estimated using a PIR sensor array.

In this thesis, we also focus on object and event classification using sampled PIR sensor signals. Pet, human and flame detections are comparatively investigated. Different human motion events are modeled and classified using Hidden Markov Models (HMM) and Conditional Gaussian Mixture Models (CGMMs). In the proposed approach wavelets or sub-band analysis are used in dynamic texture modeling. This leads to computationally efficient algorithms for texture feature analysis, because computing wavelet coefficients is an order-(N) type operation. So, in order to keep the computational cost low, FFT methods are not implemented. Instead, a stochastic method, Hidden Markov Model (HMM), is used to characterize different objects and Conditional Gaussian Mixture Models (CGMMs) is used for different events characerization. The sampled data is wavelet transformed for feature extraction and then fed into HMMs for analysis. A decision is reached according to the Markov Model producing the highest probability. Experimental results demonstrate the reliability of the proposed HMM based decision and event classification algorithm.

In Chapter 2, detailed information about the PIR sensors and PIDs are provided. Also sensor structure used in this work and the modifications done on the structure is presented in that chapter. In Chapter 3, the pet and human detection method is decribed and false alarm immunity of the PIDs is analyzed. Markov Models characterizing human and pet behaviour use wavelet domain signals instead of regular sensor signal to achieve robustness against sensor drift. In Chapter 4, a flame detection method based on flame flicker behavior is developed. HMM for flames are estimated and tested with different human motion and flame test data. In Chapter 5, five type human motion events are classified using conditional Gaussian mixture models. These models are tested with different test data and the results are presented.

Chapter 2

Pyroelectric Infrared (PIR) Sensors

A Pyroelectric Infrared (PIR) sensor is a device that can sense the infrared (IR) light within its viewing range. This sensor is a passive device that simply measures the changes in the IR levels emitted by surrounding objects. Since this device is a passive measuring device it is also called "Passive Infrared" sensor. PIR will detect any object emitting IR radiation, heat or changes in the background IR level. IR radiating objects include humans, animals, vehicles and wind. The sensor by itself has a short range of approximately 1m maximum [1] but using a lens that focuses the IR radiation on the sensor we can increase the sensing range to 30m [1]. Therefore the PIDs are more suitable for indoor applications or short range outdoor applications.

Since the sensor responds to the IR changes within its viewing range, it is generally used for motion detection. A PIR based motion detector (usually called PID, for Passive Infrared Detector) uses this PIR sensor with some additional electronics circuitry for detecting motion. A typical PID sensor gives a logical zero when there is no motion or to the background IR level, and gives a logical one when it detects a hot body motion. A PIR sensor becomes useful when it is used in PID. In this thesis, both the PIR sensor and the PID will be studied, because of this reason.

The PID sensors are widely used especially in indoor and short range outdoor applications directly or as an assistance of a video camera, since they are very cheap, easy to use and widely available commercially. Besides, in some applications, a PID sensor network is build in order to cover a large area or an entire building for monitoring purposes [40]. Although they are used widely in indoor and outdoor applications, their application areas are limited. Security systems, robotics, burglar alarms, visitor acknowledgement, light switch control and intelligent toys, etc. are some of example applications.

As mentioned above, a classical PID sensor is sensitive to the IR radiation, so their response is affected by some factors like the ambient temperature, humidity, moving object's speed, direction of motion, distance to the sensor and the moving object's body itself. Since PID gives a logical one or a logical zero as its output, the amplitude of the response isn't affected, but the duration and the frequency of the response change by these factors. The response of the sensor is weakened by the increasing temperature and humidity. As the humidity in the air increases, the IR radiation is absorbed and attenuated more. A good example is the increasing heat absorption of the atmosphere when the weather is cloudy. Also high humidity causes vapor on the surface of the sensor which recedes IR radiation captured by the sensor. When the ambient temperature becomes high, e.g. above 40 degrees, or very low, e.g. below zero, the sensitivity of the PIR decreases [3]. Also sudden temperature changes can create a moving object response, in other words a false alarm, and if this sudden temperature change situation continues, the sensor response can be unstable, e.g. output continuously switches between one and zero. This unstable condition can be observed especially in windy weathers. On the other hand, when the body of the moving object is big, or when the object gets close to the sensor, PIR responds faster and the duration of the response becomes longer. When the moving target is too small or too far from the sensor, the sensor can ignore it or gives no response or gives a very short response. In addition when the moving object emits more heat, a longer response will be given by the sensor. Moreover the direction of motion and speed of the moving object creates a different non-linear characteristic at the sensor's response. As the speed of the moving object increases, the duration of the response decreases but when the object moves very slowly, there is a possibility for the sensor not to distinguish it from the background. Since the viewing range of the sensor is limited by approximately 140 degrees in azimuth and 125 degrees in elevation [3], the faster object spends less time in the viewing range and this causes shorter response duration. Moreover, the direction of the moving object gives different results. If we think of some basic movement directions such as, parallel to the sensor or towards the sensor and obtain the results, we get different type of responses. The parallel response is simply like increasing sinusoidal behavior at the beginning and then decreasing sinusoidal behavior but when we deal with a direction toward to the sensor we get a more complicated sinusoidal response.

Since a typical PID sensor only responds to the motion of any object that emits IR radiation, independent of the source of motion, it is hard to distinguish different objects from each other. That leads an increasing false alarm ratio because instead of a real target like human, PID sensor can respond to different sources such as animals or even a wind. On the other hand, PID sensor basically gives a one for the motion and a zero for no-motion, so it becomes very hard for the user to understand the source of motion, in other words we cannot distinguish different objects by looking at the output of the PID sensor. This limits the usage area of these sensors.

If we focus on these problems, we can see that in the commercial market some methods such as temperature compensation, auto pulse signal processing and some patents [4]-[11] were developed in order to increase the robustness and decrease the false alarm ratio. But these methods deal with just some current problems that are observed for classical PIDs, not developing the current vision.

In this theses, PID sensors will be analyzed in three categories: first, second and third generation. Briefly, the first generation sensors are very simple structured sensors composed of a sensing stage, then an amplifier stage and finally a comparator stage. These type of sensors are not robust and precise compared to second and third generations. Second generation sensors have a similar structure to the first generation, but they have additional comparator stage for increased robustness and precision. The false alarm rate in the second generation is lower compared to that in the first generation. The third generation sensors, developed by us, have sensing and amplifying stages that are modified versions of those in the second generation. They also have a microcontroller with an ADC stage that converts the analog signals to digital instead of comparing with a defined threshold as seen in the first and second generations. This last stage implements signal processing on these samples. More detailed information is given in the Chapter 2, Chapter 3, Chapter 4.

In this work, the first study is to increase the information that a PID sensor gives so that a well developed signal processing can be implemented to distinguish different events. At this point a new approach for PIR sensor signal processing is developed. A classical second generation PID sensor is modified for extracting all possible information from the PID sensor. This work is also applicable for the first generation PID sensors and explained in detail in the third generation sensor part.

2.1 IR Radiation

Infrared (IR) radiation is a type of electromagnetic radiation. Infrared light has a longer wavelength than visible light. The infrared has a wavelength of 750 nmto 100 μm . The infrared radiation is invisible to humans but we can feel it as heat. Infrared region can further be divided into sub-regions as follows:

- Near Infrared (NIR): 750 nm to 1.5 μm .
- Short Wavelength Infrared (SWIR): 1.5 μm to 3 μm .
- Mid Wavelength Infrared (MWIR): 3 μm to 8 μm .
- Long Wavelength Infrared (LWIR): 8 μm to 15 μm .
- Far Infrared (FIR): Longer than 15 μm .

The MWIR and LWIR is known as the thermal infrared. All objects emit what is known as black body radiation (thermal radiation). This is emitted from the surface of an object which is due to the its temperature. Human body at normal body temperature radiates IR approximately at wavelengths around 9.4 μm .

2.2 Pyroelectricity

Pyroelectricity (from the Greek *pyr*, fire, and electricity) is the ability of certain materials to generate a temporary electrical potential when they are heated or cooled. It is a migration of positive and negative charge to opposite ends of a crystal's polar axis as a result of a change in temperature, and this causes an electrical polarization. This polarization change gives rise to a temporary electric potential, although this disappears after the dielectric relaxation time.

Pyroelectricity can be visualized as one side of a triangle, where each corner represents energy states in the crystal: kinetic, electrical and thermal energy. The side between kinetic and electrical corners represents the pyroelectric effect and produces no kinetic energy.

This effect is first observed in quartzs. If a crystal develops a positive charge on one face during heating, it will develop a negative charge on the same face during cooling. This ability of the crystals is used for detecting infrared radiation.

2.3 Theory of Operation

A typical PID (1st generation) is generally composed of four blocks shown in Figure 2.1. The first block is for optical focusing of the IR radiation onto the



Figure 2.1: A typical PID sensor block schema.

PIR sensor. For this purpose, Fresnel lenses are preferred since they have lower thickness. The second block is the sensing block. This block contains a PIR sensor for detecting the IR radiation. PIR sensors have IR filters mounted on them so that they respond to the optimal IR frequency range of interest. The third block is the amplifier stage, which amplifies the output signal of the PIR sensor. A typical amplifier block is composed of a two stage amplifier in which each stage has a gain of approximately 100. The last stage is the comparator stage that gives the output voltage of either 5V for logic one or 0V for logic zero.

Beyond these blocks, second generation PID sensors have extra comparator circuitry for increasing the robustness of the sensor. For the third generation PID sensor we place an ADC and a microcontroller instead of a comparator block. These blocks and the first, second, third generation sensors are explained in detail in the next sections.

2.4 PID Sensor Module Configuration

As previously pointed, a typical PID sensor module is composed of four basic structures:

- A fresnel lens,
- a PIR sensor,
- the amplifier circuitry and
- the comparator circuitry.

2.4.1 Fresnel Lens

A Fresnel lens is a plano convex lens that has been collapsed on itself as in Figure 2.2 to form a flat lens that retains its optical characteristics but is much smaller in thickness and therefore has less absorption loss.



Figure 2.2: A plano convex lens and fresnel lens, adopted from [2].

The Fresnel lens is made of an infrared transmitting material that has an IR transmission range of 8 μm to 14 μm that is most sensitive to human body radiation. It is designed to have its grooves facing the IR sensing element so

that a smooth surface is presented to subject side of the lens which is usually the outside of an enclosure that houses the sensor [1].

The lens element is round with a diameter of 1 inch and has a flange that is 1.5 inches square. This flange is used for mounting the lens in a suitable frame or enclosure. Mounting can best and most easily be done with strips of scotch tape. Silicon rubber adhesive can also be used to form a more waterproof seal [1].

A typical Fresnel lens for the first generation PID sensor is FL65 [2]. FL65 has a focal length of 0.65 inches from the lens to the sensing element. It has been determined by experiment to have a field of view of approximately 10 degrees when used with a PIR325 PIR sensor. Figure 2.3 shows the lens geometry.



Figure 2.3: Typical fresnel lens dimensions, adopted from [2].

Another issue of a Fresnel lens is the pattern. The pattern affects the performance of the sensor directly. In order to increase the performance and coverage, different geometries are applied. An example can be seen in Figure 2.4.



Figure 2.4: An example pattern for D203S model PID, adopted from [3].

Different types of PID sensors have different patterns based on their usage. Some example patterns are shown in Figure 2.5.



Figure 2.5: Three types of PIDs and their patterns, adopted from [4].

In the second generation PID sensors coverage is increased, since our sensors are modified versions of the second generation PID sensors, we have the same pattern shown in Figure 2.6.



Figure 2.6: The pattern of the PID sensor used in this study, adopted from [12].

2.4.2 PIR Sensors

PIR sensor is an electronic device that generates an electric charge when exposed to infrared radiation. As the name implies this sensor is made of pyroelectric materials such as crystals. When the amount of infrared radiation that is striking to the crystal changes, the amount of charge also changes. This charge is sensed and converted to a voltage level via a FET transistor that is build inside the sensor. The sensor is sensitive over a wide spectrum. The sensor and the equivalent circuit is shown in Figure 2.7.



Figure 2.7: A PIR sensor and its equivalent circuit, adopted from [3].

In order to limit the sensitivity range of the sensor, there is an IR filter at the top. This filter is generally designed for a bandwidth of 5.5 μm to 15 μm . A typical spectral response of this filter is shown in Figure 2.8.



Figure 2.8: IR filter spectral response, adopted from [3].

Dual compensated sensing elements are applied to suppress the interference resulting from temperature variation. As a result, the operating stability of the sensor is greatly improved. In such a configuration, the PIR measurements cancel each other so that the average temperature of the field of view is removed from the electrical signal; an increase of IR energy across the entire sensor is self-cancelling and will not trigger the device. This allows the device to resist false indications of change in the event of being exposed to flashes of light or field-wide illumination. (Continuous bright light could still saturate the sensor materials and render the sensor unable to register further information.) At the same time, this differential arrangement minimizes common-mode interference, allowing the device to resist triggering due to nearby electric fields. An example drawing for the PIR response to a moving body is shown in Figure 2.9



Figure 2.9: An example drawing of PIR response to a moving body, adopted from [2].

However, a differential pair of sensors cannot measure temperature in this configuration and therefore this configuration is specialized for motion detectors.

2.4.3 Amplifier Circuitry

Since PIR sensor's output is very low, it should be well amplified. This part of a first generation PID sensor is a two stage amplifier in which each stage has a gain of approximately 100, corresponding to a total gain of 10000. Because there is a high gain, amplifiers with band-pass characteristics are used. An example of this two stage is shown in the red box of Figure 2.10.



Figure 2.10: A simple PID amplifier circuitry, adopted from [2].

As mentioned in the previous chapter, the output of a PIR sensor has a low frequency tendency around DC to 10Hz [2]. So the frequency response of the amplifier block tends to remove or reduce the high frequency components while amplifying the interested frequency band in the output signal of a PIR. The frequency response of the first and the second amplifier outputs are plotted below for the circuit shown in Figure 2.10.



Figure 2.11: Frequency response of the amplifier circuit.

In Figure 2.12, the output of the first and second stages for a walking person is shown.



Figure 2.12: The output of the first stage (shown in blue, CH2) and second stage (shown in orange, CH1) amplifier.

At the output of the amplifier block we have an analog signal. In order to get a meaningful output in the first and second generation sensors, this analog signal is compared with thresholds, but the third generation sensor just samples this analog signal instead of comparing with thresholds. So that after sampling, we move into digital domain instead of analog domain, and that leads us to implement signal processing.

Typical signals seen at the output of the amplifier block, when there is observed motion and a background or no-motion, are shown in Figures 2.13 and 2.14, respectively.



Figure 2.13: Walking man at 2m distance to PIR sensor. The signal is sampled with 50Hz.



Figure 2.14: Background signal sampled with 50 Hz.

2.4.4 Comparator

As mentioned above the amplifier stage gives an amplified analog signal of PIR sensor output. In order to get meaningful information from PID this analog signal is compared with thresholds. If the signal stays between two threshold values then the signal belongs to ambient, and there is no motion. So the comparators give an output of 0V. On the other hand, if there is a motion the signal tends to have higher amplitude. This time, signal passes beyond the threshold values and one of the comparators give an output of 5V. This points that the sensor has detected a motion. The comparator circuitry of a first generation PID sensor is shown in the red box of Figure 2.15.


Figure 2.15: A simple PID comparator circuitry, adopted from [2].

Here the threshold values are chosen about $\pm 200mV$ around the half of supply voltage (V_{cc}) because when there is no-motion signal shows a DC behavior that has amplitude of $V_{cc}/2$. But when there is a weak motion even far from the sensor, the signal starts to behave like a sinusoid with an offset voltage of $V_{cc}/2$ and an amplitude depending on the source distance, source IR radiation quantity. So in order to capture even weak motions these threshold values are kept small enough. But this also increases the false alarm ratio. Comparator outputs can drive a delay circuit so that the PID can give a outputs with longer durations instead of giving short pulses. In Figure 2.16 and Figure 2.17, the output of the second stage amplifier and comparators are given for the motion and background cases, respectively.



Figure 2.16: The second stage amplifier (shown in orange, CH1) and comparator (shown in blue, CH2) output for walking man at 2m.



Figure 2.17: The second stage amplifier (shown in orange, CH1) and comparator (shown in blue, CH2) output for background.

The direction of the motion can be detected due to the PIR sensor nature. Thus, a different configuration of the comparators can tell us the direction of the motion. A general configuration is shown in Figure 2.18.



Figure 2.18: A simple PID comparator circuitry that can give the direction of motion, adopted from [2].

Here the comparator outputs are separated so that if we have a positive edge we get 5V from the top comparator and 0V from the bottom comparator, and we get an opposite behavior for the negative edge.

In the second generation sensors, the comparator part is more complicated in order to decrease the false alarm ratio.

2.5 First Generation (Ordinary) Sensors

This is the most primitive PID type. This type of sensors have the highest false alarm ratios and cannot distinguish the moving targets. A typical configuration was shown in Figure 2.1 and an example circuit schema is presented in Figure 2.19.



Figure 2.19: A typical PID circuitry, adopted from [2].

2.6 Second Generation Sensors

The second generation sensors are the modified version of the first type. Except PIR sensor part, the rest of the PID is modified. Fresnel lens geometry is changed for higher sensitivity and wider range. The amplifier part is modified for low noise. The comparator part is 're-created' and new extra circuitry is added in order to implement patented algorithms like auto pulse signal processing, temperature compensation. By these changes, the PID becomes more robust and the false alarm ratio is decreased. These PIDs can also distinguish moving targets like a human or an animal and responds accordingly.

2.7 Third Generation Sensors

Although the second generation sensors are developed versions of the first generation, they have some absence in the false alarm ratios and their potential was not used efficiently. In the second generation of PID, the analog signal of the amplifier is converted to pulses and the decisions are made according to these pulses. This is not very useful for applying different algorithms and limits the abilities that we can develop. Instead of creating pulses, in the third generation PIDs, an ADC conversion is implemented and a sampled version of the amplifiers analog output is obtained. In Figure 2.20 a typical diagram is shown.



Figure 2.20: Typical third generation sensor circuitry.

We sample the analog input and feed the data into a microcontroller based structure so that we can either implement algorithms in the microprocessor or bypass the data to a PC via serial communication. In this way, we can use different techniques to analyze the sensor output and create models for different targets.

Another major problem for the second generation sensors is the saturation problem. When we have a strong IR source, the amplifiers get saturated. This distorts the output signals of the amplifiers and prevents us to characterize different events in detail. The amplifier gain is adjusted so that a human walking at a distance of 1m in front of the sensor will not saturate the amplifiers.

Chapter 3

Pet Detection

In this chapter, signals produced only by PIR sensor is analyzed to distinguish pet and human. Since a PID responds to the heat change in the ambient independent from the source, all of the IR radiating sources whether they are necessary or not, are detected and this causes too many useless information and increases false alarm ratios especially in safety and security applications. In order to decrease the false alarm ratios, distinguishing the IR radiating sources, such as a human and pet, from each other becomes important.

Detection of a person in an unsupervised area is a practical problem with applications in safety and security areas including supportive home environments. Intelligent homes will have the capability of monitoring activities of their occupants and automatically provide assistance to elderly people and young children using a multitude of sensors in the near future. A good example is given in [14].

On the other hand, computer vision-based systems may provide effective and complimentary solutions for motion detection and pattern recognition [15]. Although visual systems are highly successful for detection and recognition, cameras must be placed in several parts of the house including bathrooms. Even if the video data is neither stored nor sent to an outside center for further processing, many people may find such a practice disturbing. This solution is not a cost effective solution since we need lots of camera with an image processing unit.

However, an intelligent PIR sensor can be a cost effective solution and since it is used everywhere people would not be iritated. So the problem becomes distinguishing different IR sources.

In this work, signals produced by PIR sensor are processed in the wavelet domain. It is experimentally observed that the wavelet transform domain signal processing provides better results than the time-domain signal processing because wavelets capture sudden changes in the signal and ignore stationary parts of the signal. For our purposes, it is important to detect sudden changes rather than drifts or low frequency variations. Feature parameters are extracted from wavelet signals in fixed-length data windows and they are used in Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) which are trained according to possible human being and pet activities.

3.1 Data Processing

As indicated in Chapter 1, commercially available PIR sensors produce binary outputs; however, we capture a continuous amplitude analog signal indicating the strength of the received signal. The corresponding circuit is shown in Figure 2.10. The samples are taken from "OUT2" output point in Figure 2.10 and the sampling rate is 50Hz.

The strength of the received signal from a PIR sensor increases by the size of the IR radiating source within its viewing range. Also the distance of the source has an important role at the output signal amplitude and shape. The effects of these two factors can be easily observed at the output. Briefly, a bigger object moving at a certain distance creates higher amplitude at the output then a smaller one moving at the same distance. So the PIR signal amplitudes for a person expected to be higher than the amplitudes due to the motion of a pet as pets are smaller than human beings for a given distance. On the other hand,



Figure 3.1: PIR sensor output signals recorded at a distance of 2m for (a) a human being, and (b) a pet.

the amplitude decreases with increasing distance. So a simple amplitude-based classification is not enough for distinguishing, instead we should characterize different source signals. A typical PIR sensor signal for a person and a pet is shown in Figure 3.1.

When we focus on other characteristics of a PIR signal, we observe that the frequency of the signal is also changing with the speed of the IR radiating source within its viewing range. This can be another distinguishing factor since pets move faster than the human beings. This can be observed in the sensor output signal, as shown in Figure 3.1.

There is a bias offset in the PIR sensor output signal, which changes according to the room temperature. Wavelet transform of the PIR signal removes this bias. Let x[n] be a sampled version of the signal coming out of a PIR sensor. Wavelet coefficients obtained from a single stage subband decomposition, w[k], corresponding to [12.5Hz, 25Hz], frequency information of the original sensor output signal x[n] are evaluated with the integer arithmetic high-pass filter, corresponding to Lagrange wavelets [28] followed by decimation. The used highpass filter is the filter bank of a biorthogonal wavelet transform used in the analysis [28]. The lowpass filter has the transfer function

$$H_l(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(z^{-1} + z^1)$$
(3.1)

and the corresponding highpass filter has the transfer function

$$H_h(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(z^{-1} + z^1).$$
(3.2)

In this case, the wavelet transform coefficients, w[k], are directly used as a feature parameter in an HMM-based classification. Two triple state HMMs are used for classifying human, pet and background. In Figure 3.2 an example is shown.



Figure 3.2: Three-state Markov models used to represent (a) 'pet' and (b) 'human' classes, respectively.

The wavelet results of the PIR sensor are analyzed in small windows that have a size of 50 sample and corresponds to 1*sec*. Every window is fed into HMM and classified as human, pet or background. If the wavelet of the output of the PIR sensor stays below a predefined threshold, i.e. T_{p1} , then this indicates that the sensor stays in S0 state. If n^{th} window stays in S0 state completely, this means that there is no motion for the n^{th} window. T_{p1} is chosen according to experimental results. When the wavelet of a background is analyzed, the coefficients tend to stay below 0.8 but when there is an observed motion, one or more coefficients pass over this value. A background data and the wavelet transform is shown in Figure 3.3 .



Figure 3.3: Background data and its wavelet transform in absolute value (high-frequency component of the filter bank).

Next, we define a non-negative threshold T_{p2} in the wavelet domain. If there is a motion for the n^{th} window, at least one of the corresponding wavelet coefficients satisfies $|w[k]| \ge T_{p1}$. If any wavelet coefficient satisfies $T_{p1} \le |w[k]| \le T_{p2}$, then we obtain state S1; otherwise, state S2, i.e. $|w[k]| \ge T_{p2}$, is attained as the current state. Wavelet signal captures the high frequency information in the signal. Therefore, we expect that there will be more transitions occurring between states due to the motion of a pet. T_{p2} is chosen as twice of the T_{p1} value, because the motion of a pet has a small effect on PIR, so that choosing a bigger T_{p2} value will decrease robustness for the frequency seperation. Especially when the human is far from the sensor, the effect is decreasing and has a close behavior of a pet in terms of amplitude. Therefore, frequency content becomes important. This is observed by increasing transitions between states in our HMM. Choosing a higher T_{p2} value will cause loss of information in the state transitions.

For the training of the HMMs, the state transition probabilities for human being and pet models are estimated from 50 consecutive wavelet coefficients covering a time frame of one second. During the classification phase, a state history signal consisting of 50 consecutive wavelet coefficients is computed from the received sensor signal. This state sequence is fed to the human being and pet models in running windows. The model yielding highest probability is determined as the result of the analysis of PIR sensor data.

3.2 Experimental Results

In our experiments we record pet and human motions at a distance of 2 m - 5 m to the sensor. For pet sequences, a cat is moved in front of the sensor and we record the output signals. For the human sequences, we record walking and running person sequences. The person within the viewing range of the PIR sensor walks or runs on a straight line which is tangent to the circle with a radius of 2 m - 5 m and the sensor being at the center. The HMM models for PIR sensor are trained with three two-minute-long recordings of background, walking signals of a single person and random activities of a pet. The results are shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: The results with 108 Human, 120 pet, 120 background test sequences. The system is connected to a PC and gives 0 for background, 1 for detection of a pet and 2 for detection of a human within the viewing range of the PIR sensor.

Test Cases	Number of Sequences	Number of Pet Detection	Number of Human Detection	Number of Test Signals Classified as
Human Test Sequences	108	0	83	Background 25
Pet Test Sequences	120	110	0	10
Background Test Sequences	120	0	0	120

A total of 348 recordings containing human, pet and background activities are used for testing. We have no HMM corresponding to the background case and no background test sequences classified as a human or a pet. This is due to the non-continuous motion of the human and pet. As shown in Figures 3.4 and 3.5, there are some time gaps in the motion; thus, if the time gap is long enough, sensor adapts itself to this new ambient scenario and assumes it as background.



Figure 3.4: Time gaps of a pet motion that can be mis-classified as background.



Figure 3.5: Time gaps of a human motion that can be mis-classified as background.

Since these gaps are longer for human motion compared to the pet motion, we have more false alarms in human data classified as background. This is also observed when a human stands in front of the PIR sensor without moving because when a constant IR radiating object moves, it creates a heat change in the ambient and the PIR responds to this change. When this object stays constant, the sensors respond as background temperature is changed and adapts itself to this new background. These false alarms are actually not important because the human or the pet is actually detected in spite of occasional false alarms.

3.3 Conclusion

In this chapter, a method for distinguishing a pet, a human inside an intelligent environment/building equipped with a PIR sensor is proposed. Wavelet based features are extracted from PIR sensor outputs and are fed to two HMMs for human and pet. Sensor recordings containing various human and pet motions are used for training the HMMs corresponding to human and pet models. Classification is done by the results of the probabilities of each HMMs. This sensor can be used as an assistant to a camera based system or can be complementary to a multitude sensor system [14]. The proposed system can further be improved to handle false alarm sources like barking dogs, wind, slamming doors and so forth. This can be achieved by training models similar to ones defined in this chapter. It can also be used to increase the robustness of camera-based systems inside and outside of an intelligent building.

Chapter 4

Flame Detection

In this chapter, a flame detection system based on wavelet analysis of PIR sensor signals is described. Since PIR sensor is sensitive to IR radiation, PIR sensor responds to flames as well as it does to any other moving hot object. The problem is to find some special characteristics that belong to flames for separating them from other objects and these must be robust enough to have a low false alarm ratio.

Conventional point smoke and fire detectors typically detect the presence of certain particles generated by smoke and fire by ionization or photometry. An important weakness of point detectors is that the smoke has to reach the sensor. This may take significant amount of time to produce an alarm and therefore it is not possible to use them in open spaces or large rooms. The main advantage of PIR based sensor system for fire detection over the conventional smoke detectors is the ability to monitor large rooms and spaces because they analyze the infrared light reflected from hot objects or fire flames to reach a decision. When we apply different algorithms on the same sensor it is possible to detect different events. So instead of using different type sensors, we can just use PIDs.

Moreover, there are also some disadvantages of the system over conventional smoke detectors. One of them occurs because of the complex layout of any room. In order to get robust results, PIR sensor should directly see the flames. If the flame occurs behind a big object, the probability of detection decreases since the object masks the IR radiation. In addition, different PID sensors can give same characteristic signals for the same event but with different amplitudes. The reason is because of the differences of the structure. Even this, can be observed between same types of 2 PIDs. The algorithm should be calibrated for every sensor. Another disadvantage is the test case. We implement some tests for different situations but more detailed tests should be applied for different ambient conditions with different situations in order to get more trustable and robust system.

It is reported that turbulent flames of an uncontrolled fire flicker with a frequency of around 10 Hz [16, 17]. Recently developed video based fire detection schemes also take advantage of this fact by detecting periodic high-frequency behavior in flame colored moving pixels [18] - [20]. Actually, instantaneous flame flicker frequency is not constant and it varies in time. As reported in [31] and [29], flame flicker behavior is a wide-band activity covering 1 Hz to 13 Hz. Therefore, a Markov model based modeling of flame flicker process produces more robust performance compared to frequency domain based methods. Markov models are extensively used in speech recognition systems and in computer vision applications [21]-[24]. In [29], several experiments on the relationship between burner size and flame flicker frequency are presented. Recent research on pyro-IR based combustion monitoring includes [30] where monitoring system using an array of PIR detectors is realized.

A regular camera or typical IR flame sensors have a fire detection range of 30 meters. This is due to the fact that flicker in flames cannot be or sensed from longer distances. Therefore, PIR based systems provide a cost-effective solution to the fire detection problem in relatively large rooms as the unit cost of a camera based system or a regular IR sensor based system is in the order of one thousand dollars. We also used wavelet domain signal processing, which provides robustness against sensor signal drift due to temperature variations in the observed area. Regular temperature changes due to hot plates and radiators are slow variations compared to the moving objects and flames. Since wavelet sub-signals of a waveform are high-pass and band-pass in nature they do not get affected by the slow variations.

Events are classified into two different classes in this approach. The first class represents fire events, on the other hand, the second class represents nonfire events. Since PIR sensor circuits are designed for detecting the movement of hot objects, we include regular human motion events such as walking or running in the non-fire event class.

The PIR sensor can be considered as a single-pixel camera without loss of generality. Therefore, the proposed PIR based fire detection algorithm is obtained methods developed in Chapter 2.

Data acquisition and the PIR systems are described in the next Section. The proposed algorithm and the experiments are presented in Sections 4.2 and 4.3, respectively.

4.1 Data Acquisition

In order to get the digital samples from PID, digital sampling structure described in the first Chapter is used. In addition, for capturing the flame flicker process the analog signal is sampled with a sampling frequency of $f_s = 50 \ Hz$ because the highest flame flicker frequency is 13 Hz [17] and $f_s = 50 \ Hz$ is well above $2 \times 13 \ Hz$. In Figure 4.1, a frequency distribution plot corresponding to a flickering flame of an uncontrolled fire is shown. It is clear that the sampling frequency of 50 Hz is sufficient.

Typical sampled signal for no activity case using 8 bit quantization is shown in Figure 4.2.



Figure 4.1: Flame flicker spectrum distribution.



Figure 4.2: Background signal sampled with 50Hz.

Other typical received signals from a moving person, shaking hands and flickering fire are presented in Figures 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.



Figure 4.3: Walking man at 5 m.



Figure 4.4: Flame at 5 m.



Figure 4.5: Shaking hands at 1 m.

The strength of the received signal from a PIR sensor increases when there is motion due to a hot body within its viewing range. However, the motion may be due to human motion taking place in front of the sensors or flickering flame. As can be noticed from the figures, shaking hands and flame flicker have a close behaviour. In this chapter the PIR sensor data is used to distinguish the flame flicker from the motion of a human being like running or walking. Typically the PIR signal frequency of oscillation for a flickering flame is higher than that of PIR signals caused by a moving hot body. In order to keep the computational cost of the detection mechanism low, we decided to use Lagrange filters for obtaining the wavelet transform coefficients as features instead of using a direct frequency approach, such as FFT based methods. On the other hand in the next section it is shown that wavelet results are more distinguisable compared to fft results.

4.2 Sensor Data Processing and HMMs

The PIR signals processed by using FFT methods, are shown in Figures 4.6 and 4.7.



Figure 4.6: Single sided amplitude spectrum for background and man walking at 5 m.



Figure 4.7: Single sided amplitude spectrum for flames at 5 m and shaking hands at 1 m.

And the corresponding results obtained by using wavelets (explained in detail in this section) are shown in Figures 4.8 and 4.9.



Figure 4.8: Absolute value of wavelet transform results for background and man walking at 5 m (high-frequency component of the filter bank).



Figure 4.9: Absolute value of wavelet transform results for flames at 5 m and shaking hands at 1 m (high-frequency component of the filter bank).

As seen from the figures, the wavelet results have better characteristics for classification. Also the computational cost is lower when we use wavelets. The rest of this secton mentions about the methods used in this work.

There is a bias in the PIR sensor output signal which changes according to the room temperature. This variaton is very slow compared to normal events. Wavelet transform of the PIR signal removes this bias. Let x[n] be a sampled version of the signal coming out of a PIR sensor. Wavelet coefficients obtained after a single stage subband decomposition, w[k], corresponding to [12.5Hz, 25Hz]frequency band information of the original sensor output signal x[n] are evaluated with an integer arithmetic high-pass filter corresponding to Lagrange wavelets [28] followed by decimation. The filter bank of a biorthogonal wavelet transform is used in the analysis. The lowpass filter has the transfer function:

$$H_l(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(z^{-1} + z^1)$$
(4.1)

and the corresponding high-pass filter has the transfer function

$$H_h(z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(z^{-1} + z^1).$$
(4.2)

The term HMM is defined as "hidden-state" Markov model in Rabiner [24]. However, the term HMM is also used in a relaxed manner when several Markov models are used to classify events. The term "hidden" refers to the fact that the model producing the observed data is unknown. An HMM based classification is carried out for fire detection. Two three-state Markov models are used to represent fire and non-fire events (cf. Figure 4.10).



Figure 4.10: HMM models used for classifying fire and non-fire events.

In these Markov models, state S1 corresponds to no activity within the viewing range of the PIR sensor. The system remains in state S1 as long as there is not any significant activity, which means that the absolute value of the current wavelet coefficient, |w[k]|, is below a non-negative threshold T1. A second threshold T2 is also defined in wavelet domain which determines the state transitions between S2 and S3. If T1 < |w[k]| < T2, then state S2 is attained. In case of |w[k]| > T2, state S3 is acquired.

The first step of the HMM based analysis consists of dividing the wavelet coefficient sequences in windows of 25 samples. For each window, a corresponding state transition sequence is determined. An example state transition sequence of size 5 may look like

$$C = (S2, S1, S3, S2, S1). \tag{4.3}$$

Since the wavelet signal captures the high frequency information in the signal, we expect that there will be more transitions occurring between states when monitoring fire compared to human motion.

4.2.1 Threshold Estimation for State Transitions

The thresholds T1 and T2 in the wavelet domain determine the state transition probabilities for a given sensor signal. In the training step, the task is to find optimal values for T1 and T2. Given (T1, T2) and ground-truth fire and non-fire wavelet training sequences, it is possible to calculate the transition probabilities for each class. Let a_{ij} denote the transition probabilities for the 'fire' class and b_{ij} denote the transition probabilities for the 'non-fire' class.

The decision about the class affiliation of a state transition sequence C of size L is done by calculating the two joint probabilities $P_a(C)$ and $P_b(C)$ corresponding to fire and non-fire classes, respectively:

$$P_a(C) = \prod_i p_a(C_{i+1}|C_i) = \prod_i a_{C_i, C_{i+1}}$$
(4.4)

and

$$P_b(C) = \prod_i p_b(C_{i+1}|C_i) = \prod_i b_{C_i,C_{i+1}}$$
(4.5)

where $p_a(C_{i+1}|C_i) = a_{C_i,C_{i+1}}$, and $p_b(C_{i+1}|C_i) = \prod_i b_{C_i,C_{i+1}}$, and i = 1, ..., L.

In case of $P_a(C) > \xi P_b(C)$, for $\xi > 0$, the class affiliation of state transition sequence C will be declared as 'fire', otherwise it is declared as 'non-fire'. In our implementation, we take $\xi = 1$ without loss of generality.

Given N_a training sequences $A_1, ..., A_{N_a}$ from 'fire' class and N_b training sequences $B_1, ..., B_{N_b}$ from 'non-fire' class, the task of the training step is to find the tuple (T1, T2) which maximizes the dissimilarity $D = (S_a - S_b)^2$, where $S_a = \sum_i P_a(B_i)$ and $S_b = \sum_i P_b(A_i)$.

This means that for each given tuple (T1, T2), there is a specific value of the dissimilarity D, so that D is a function of (T1, T2)

$$D = D(T1, T2). (4.6)$$

Figure 4.11 shows a typical plot of the dissimilarity function D(T1, T2). It can be seen from this figure that the cost function D is multi-modal and and nondifferentiable. Therefore, we solve this maximization problem using a Genetic Algorithm (GA) having the objective function D(T1, T2).



Figure 4.11: A typical plot of the dissimilarity function D(T1, T2). It is multimodal and non-differentiable.

For the training of the HMMs, the state transition probabilities for human motion and flame are estimated from 250 consecutive wavelet coefficients covering a time frame of 10 seconds.

During the classification phase a state history signal consisting of 50 consecutive wavelet coefficients are computed from the received sensor signal. This state sequence is fed to fire and non-fire models in running windows. The model yielding highest probability is determined as the result of the analysis of PIR sensor data.

For flame sequences, the transition probabilities a_{ij} 's should be high and close to each other due to random nature of uncontrolled fire. On the other hand, transition probabilities should be small in constant temperature moving bodies like a walking person because there is no change or little change in PIR signal values. Hence, we expect a higher probability for b_{00} than any other b value in the nonfire model which corresponds to higher probability of being in S1. The state S2 provides hysteresis and it prevents sudden transitions from S1 to S3 or vice versa.

4.3 Experimental Results

The analog output signal is sampled with a sampling frequency of 50 Hz and quantized at 8 bits. Real-time analysis and classification methods are implemented with C++ running on a PC. Digitized output signal is fed to the PC via RS-232 serial port.

The detection range of a PIR sensor based system is 9meters but this is enough to cover most rooms with high ceilings. In our experiments we record fire and non-fire sequences at a distance of 5 m to the sensor. For fire sequences, we burn paper and alcohol, and record the output signals. For the non-fire sequences, we record walking and running person sequences. The person within the viewing range of the PIR sensor walks or runs on a straight line which is tangent to the circle with a radius of 5 m and the sensor being at the center.

The training set consists of 90 fire and 90 non-fire recordings with durations varying between three to four seconds. The test set for fire class is 198 and that of non-fire set is 558. Our method successfully detects fire for 195 of the sequences in the fire test set. It does not trigger fire alarm for any of the sequences in the non-fire test set. This is presented in Table 4.3. Table 4.1: Results with 198 fire, 588 non-fire test sequences. The system triggers an alarm when fire is detected within the viewing range of the PIR sensor.

	No. of Sequences	No. of False Alarms	No. of Alarms
Fire Test Sequences	198	3	195
Non-Fire Test Sequences	588	0	0

The false negative alarms, 3 out of 198 fire test sequences, are issued for the recordings where a man was also within the viewing range of the sensor along with a fire close to diminish inside a waste-bin. The test setting where false alarms are issued is presented in Figure 4.12.



Figure 4.12: The PIR sensor is encircled. The fire is close to die out completely. A man is also within the viewing range of the sensor. No false alarm is issued for this case.

4.4 Summary

A method for flame detection using PIR sensors is proposed. Analog signal from a PIR sensor is sampled with a sampling frequency of 50 Hz and quantized with 8 bits. Single level wavelet coefficients of the output signal are used as feature vectors for flame detection.

PIR sensor output recordings containing various human movements and flames of paper and alcohol fire at a range of 5 m are used for training the HMMs corresponding to different events. Thresholds for defining the states of HMMs are estimated using an evolutionary algorithm, since the underlying cost function to be minimized has proved to be multi-modal and non-differentiable. Flame detection results of the proposed algorithm show that the single-pixel assumption for PIR sensor proves to be a correct one.

We show that low-cost PIR sensors that are commonly used as indoor and outdoor motion detectors, can be utilized as fire sensors when coupled with appropriate processing. The main advantage of a PIR based fire detection system over conventional particle sensors is its ability to detect the presence of fire from a distance that results in a faster response time.

Chapter 5

Human Motion Event Detection

Intelligent rooms with audio, video and low cost PIR sensors will have the capability of monitoring activities of their occupants and automatically provide assistance to elderly people and young children using a multitude of sensors in the near future [23]. Other applications include surveillance, information retrieval, indexing and security monitoring. Examples for video based event detection applications are described in [17, 18, 20, 24]. In [23], the motion of a suddenly falling person is distinguished using a multitude of sensors including PIR sensors. In [25], an array of PIR sensors is used to detect direction of motion and the number of people passing. In this chapter, an approach based on PIR sensor data for human action monitoring is proposed.

As mentioned, we remove the simple comparator part of the PID to gather the 1-D signal directly generated by the PIR sensor. Due to the fact that 3-D information is mapped to 1-D, the question arises whether it is possible to uniquely determine the class from the sensor data or whether there is too much ambiguity to do so. In the proposed approach, although there is ambiguity, it is possible to detect several different motion events successfully.

The proposed system uses the wavelet transform for feature extraction from the raw 1-D PIR signal. It is experimentally observed that the wavelet transform domain signal processing provides better results than the time-domain signal processing, because wavelets capture sudden changes in the signal and ignore stationary parts of the signal. For our purposes, it is important to detect sudden changes rather than drifts or low frequency variations. Using the feature sequence, a Conditional Gaussian Mixture Model classifier is employed for the classification.

5.1 PIR Event Detection System

In our system, the circuitry used for sampling analog data is same as the one mentioned in Chapter 3.

5.1.1 Event Classes

Six different event classes are considered. The motion events differ in direction of motion (tangential and radial), the distance relative to the PIR sensor (2 m and 5 m) and also in the speed of the motion (walk and run). In Figure 5.1 the tangential and radial motion is characterized.



Figure 5.1: Tangential (a) and radial motion (b) relative to a PIR sensor.

The following 5 motion events are cosidered. **WT2**: tangential walk at 2 m distance, **WT5**: tangential walk at 5 m distance, **RT5**: tangential run at 5 m distance, **WR2-5**: radial walk from 2 m to 5 m distance, **WR5-2**: radial walk from 5 m to 2 m distance.

5.1.2 Feature Extraction using Wavelet Domain Processing

As can be seen from Figure 5.2, there is bias in the PIR sensor output signal which changes according to the room temperature. Wavelet transform of the PIR signal removes this bias.



Figure 5.2: Background (a) and its wavelet transform (b).

Let x_n be a sampled version of the signal received from the PIR sensor. Wavelet coefficients w_n obtained by a single stage subband decomposition correspond to [12.5 Hz, 25 Hz] frequency band information of the original sensor output signal x_n . The wavelet coefficients are computed with the integer arithmetic high-pass filter having the frequency response of

$$H(e^{jw}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\cos(w) \tag{5.1}$$

corresponding to Lagrange wavelets [28] followed by downsampling.

The resulting wavelet coefficient sequence w_k is then further divided into overlapping windows of size 11 to generate 11 dimensional feature vectors v_k as follows:

$$v_k = (w_{k-10}, w_{k-9}, ..., w_k), \ v \in \mathbb{R}^{11}.$$
 (5.2)

As a result, a wavelet coefficient sequence of length N generates N - 10 feature vectors for each data record. These feature vectors are processed by a GMM classifier which is described in the next subsections.

5.1.3 GMM Training

For each event class, feature vectors v_k of corresponding training data sets are used to estimate the corresponding probability density function (PDF) by GMM approximation using an Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm [36, 37]. Resulting PDF's are then described by weighted sums of Gaussians, respectively,

$$p(v) = \sum_{j}^{M} \alpha_{j} \mathcal{N}(v, \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}), \qquad (5.3)$$

with mean $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}^{11}$ and covariance $\Sigma_j \in \mathbb{R}^{11 \times 11}$.

5.1.4 Event Detection

Given an input sample sequence x_n , first the feature sequence w_k is computed followed by the forming of feature vectors v_k . For each $w_k, ..., w_{k-10}$, the conditional probability density function $q(w_k|w_{k-10}, ..., w_{k-1}) = q(w_k|w_{k-10:k-1})$ can be determined from p, respectively, where $w_{k-10:k-1} \equiv w_{k-10}, ..., w_{k-1}$. The aim is to determine the conditional PDF for the current w_k given the preceding 10 values $w_{k-10}, w_{k-9}, ..., w_{k-1}$ from the available PDF $p(v_k)$. The conditional PDF of interest can be represented as a 1-D conditional GMM (CGMM). From Bayes rule, we obtain

$$p(v_k) = p(w_k, w_{k-10:k-1})$$

= $q(w_k | w_{k-10:k-1}) p(w_{k-10:k-1})$
 $\Rightarrow q(w_k | w_{k-10:k-1}) = \frac{p(v)}{p(w_{k-10:k-1})}.$ (5.4)

With the following partitioning of the mean μ_j and covariance Σ_j , respectively

$$\mu_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{j,a}(10 \times 1) \\ \mu_{j,b}(1 \times 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{j,a}(10 \times 1)\Sigma_{j,b}(1 \times 1) \\ \Sigma_{j,c}(1 \times 1)\Sigma_{j,d}(1 \times 1) \end{pmatrix},$$
(5.5)

This means $\hat{\mu}_j$ and covariances $\hat{\Sigma}_j$ of the CGMM can be determined [32] as

$$\hat{\mu}_{j} = \mu_{j,b} + \sum_{j,c} \sum_{j,a}^{-1} (w_{k-10:k-1} - \mu_{j,a})$$
(5.6)

$$\hat{\Sigma}_j = \Sigma_{j,d} - \Sigma_{j,c} \Sigma_{j,a}^{-1} \Sigma_{j,b}$$
(5.7)

The CGMM can then be written as

$$q(w_k|w_{k-10:k-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_j \mathcal{N}(w_k, \hat{\mu}_j, \hat{\Sigma}_j).$$
(5.8)

Given a sequence of wavelet coefficients w_k , k = 1, ..., N, the logarithmic likelihood is determined as

$$L = log\left(\prod_{k=10}^{N} q(w_k|w_{k-10:k-1})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=10}^{N} log(q(w_k|w_{k-10:k-1})).$$
(5.9)

The decision for a certain class \hat{e} is done by calculating the logarithmic likelihood for each class and selecting the one with maximum result

$$\hat{e} = argmax_e \{ e | L_e \}, \tag{5.10}$$

where L_e is the logarithmic likelihood generated by the CGMM of class e.

5.2 Experimental Results

In order to verify the classification performance of the proposed approach 50 recordings of motion events were made for each class. Typical signals received from according motion events are shown in Figure 5.3.


Figure 5.3: Typical sampled sensor signals for all 6 event classes.

Using leave-one-out cross validation, 49 recordings each were used to train the GMM repeatedly for each record, having a test set of 50 records for each event class. Table 5.1 shows the number of Gaussians M_e for each trained class e.

Table 5.1: Number of Gaussians M_e of each GMM p_e .

Class	WT2	WT5	RT5	WR2-5	WR5-2	BG
M_e	9	1	6	7	1	1

Table 5.2 shows the classification performance of the proposed approach for the 6 classes, where P_s is the success probability. The false classifications were uniform, meaning that an event class was confused with only one other event class, indicated by e_f .

In order to demonstrate which classes are 'similar', the cumulative values from Equation 5.9 are plotted in Figure 5.4. For 6 different motion sequences, one from each class, all 6 logarithmic likelihoods are plotted. As seen from Figure 5.4 (a), classes WT2 and WT5 are similar, as they yield similar logarithmic likelihoods. So, the situation is same for the classes WR2-5 and WR5-2, as seen in Figure 5.4 (e). Another interesting similarity can be found between RT5 and WT2 in

Table 5.2: Classification performance and confused classes for various motion events.

Class	WT2	WT5	RT5	WR2-5	WR5-2	BG
P_s	1	0.98	0.98	0.96	0.92	1
		WT2 with	WT2 with	WR5-2 with	WR2-5 with	
e_f	-	probability	probability	probability	probability	-
		0.02	0.02	0.04	0.08	

Figure 5.4 (c). This corresponds to the intuitive property that a tangential walk near the sensor equals in a sense a tangential run on a more distant path. In all cases, the background event is separated quite clearly from the other events.



Figure 5.4: Cumulative logarithmic likelihood plots for typical motion sequences: (a) tangential walk at 2m distance, (b) tangential walk at 5m distance, (c) tangential run at 5m distance, (d) radial walk from 2m to 5m distance, (e) radial walk from 5m to 2m distance and (f) background signal.

5.3 Conclusion

The experimental results show that though 3-D information is mapped to 1-D, it is possible to classify and detect different motion events using a PIR sensor. However, using just one sensor yields very similar logarithmic likelihoods in most cases and this could affect the robustness of this approach when using more event classes than the five presented in this work. The use of two or more sensors could help increase robustness, since the sensors are low cost and widely available.

As a future work, distance detection and person tracking can be done. By the use of a sensor network path and distance detecton can be made, an example path detection is made in [40], but several PIR sensor is used. Instead of the method used, path detection can be done by fewer PIR sensors using the sturucture given in this work and instead of output voltage comparison of different sensors, more developed algorithms such as HMM's can be used to detect distance of the object from each sensor and objects path can be extracted from this data.

Chapter 6

Conclusions and Future Work

In this thesis, a new structure expanding the ordinary application range of PIR sensors is developed. A discrete time signal extraction method from ordinary PID sensors is developed. Instead of directly using the output of an ordinary PID, the analog signal of the PIR sensor amplifiers is sampled and digital signal processing techniques are applied on the discrete-time signal for object and event classification. An intelligent structure containing a micro-controller with an ADC (analog-to-digital converter) is added inside of an ordinary PID. In this way, it is possible to implement some basic algorithms on micro-controller and get real-time performance or transfer the discrete time signal to PC for more sophisticated algorithms.

In order to implement algorithms on small micro-controllers HMM based decision engine is used because once they trained offline, the Markov Model based system makes some simple additions while reaching a decision. Besides, the computational cost of the proposed decision engine is lower compared to Fourier domain methods using the FFT algorithm. The feature vectors of the sensor signal samples are extracted using the orthogonal wavelet transform. The use of wavelet transform further decreases computational cost because of inherent downsampling associated with the WT. Ordinary PIDs have simple structure based on thresholding. Therefore they suffer from low accuracy, and furthermore they can not seperate a real target, such as a human from a fake object such as wind or a pet. So, animal or pet detection in real-time has an important role for robustness and decreasing the false alarm ratios of classical PIDs.

In Chapter 3, a pet detection method based on wavelet feature extraction is developed. Three state HMMs are implemented for each object. A pet's motion signal is different from the motion model of a human in terms of amplitude and frequency. Therefore the resulting Markov Model for a pet is different from the HMM of a human being. A third HMM represent the background or the ambient noise. This HMM is trained using the PIR sensor signals when there are no moving objects in the viewing range of the sensor. During the tests the model probabilities are compared with each other for distinguishing objects from each other. Furthermore, the human, pet and background models are tested with different object data and the performance and success rate of each model is determined.

The PIR sensor provide us another solution to detect flames because fire emits heat or IR radiation. Ordinary PIDs also respond to flames, but they can not seperate fire from ordinary human motion and other hot objects. On the other hand, the PIR sensor signal reflects the flame flicker process and we take advantage of this fact to develop a fire detector using an intelligent PID equipped with a microprocessor. This small modification will add extra features to the currently used PIDs in security area.

In Chapter 4, a PID flame detection method based on wavelet feature extraction is implemented. Similar to the previous case three state HMMs are implemented. This time the flame flicker process is modeled and it is distinguished from different human movements with different speeds and distances from the PIR sensor. Also, the state threshold values are estimated by an evolutionary algorithm, since the underlying cost function to be minimized has proved to be multi-modal and non-differentiable. The algorithm is tested with flames of paper and alcohol fire at a distance of 5m and with different human movements, especially with the ones that is observed to have a similar behaviour of a flame flicker such as hand waving. The test results are promising and tend to have low false alarm rates.

The PIR sensor response signal is a nonlinear sum of factors dependent on the direction, speed, distance and the size of the moving object, as well as the ambient temperature. A typical human movement have all of the above factors. Especially, in indoor applications, different human motions can be characterized and classified. The number and the diversity of these events can be increased in the future. That will lead us to discover new areas such as distance detection and path finding.

In Chapter 5, a basic human motion event classification scheme is implemented. This is a difficult problem because of the large number of variations in parameters. However, especially, in indoor applications, different human movements can be characterized and classified. The number and the diversity of these events can be increased in the future. That will lead us to discover new areas such as distance detection and path finding using the PID devices. Five different human motion events with a background case are classified using a Bayesian approach employing Conditional Gaussian Mixture Models (CGMM) trained for each class. These events include running and walking at two predefined distances with a tangential and a radial direction to the sensor. The models are tested with various test data and the experimental results indicate that, although the 3-D information is mapped to 1-D it is possible to classify and detect different motion events to some extent using a PIR sensor. However, using just one sensor yields very similar logarithmic likelihoods in most cases and this could affect the robustness of this approach. Therefore, methods for multiple PIR sensor arrays should be developed to realize smart rooms and buildings equipped with PIR sensors.

As a future work, the use of a PID sensor arrays will be investigated to determine the approximate location and trajectory of objects in a room. It is our belief that PID sensors will play an important role in smart homes and buildings. PID sensor arrays can observe their habitants, they can detect flames, and provide robust solutions for security applications.

In this thesis, we have developed signal processing algorithms for detection of various objects and events via PIR sensors. The ideas beyond this work can be developed further to discover new application areas for PID.

Bibliography

- PIR325 Sensor Infrared Motion Detector Manual, http://www.glolab.com/glmda/GLMDA.pdf, Glolab Corporation, NY, USA.
- [2] PIR 325 Infrared Parts Manual, http://www.glolab.com/pirparts/pirmanual.pdf, Glolab Corporation, NY, USA.
- [3] D203B, Pyroelectric Infrared Radial Sensor Datasheet, http://www.micropik.com/PDF/D203B-e.pdf,
 PIR SENSOR CO.,LTD..
- [4] Paradox, Motion Detectors,
 http://www.paradox.com/Documentation/TechnicalPublication/
 MOTION-ET04.pdf, Paradox Security Systems, Quebec, Canada.
- [5] Shpater, Pinhas, "Passive infrared motion detector and method", US Patent 6,215,399, November 10,1997.
- [6] Shpater, Pinhas, "Infrared motion detection signal sampler", US Patent 6,111,256, April 10,1997
- Shpater, Pinhas, "Motion detection with RFI/EMI protection", US Patent 5,920,259, November 10,1997.

- [8] Shpater, Pinhas, "Passive infrared motion detection circuit having four comparators", US Patent 5,886,632, November 10,1997.
- [9] Shpater, Pinhas, "Doppler shift motion detector with variable power", US Patent 5,287,111, August 24,1992.
- [10] Hershkovitz, Shmuel, "Apparatus and method for locating boundaries of detection zones covered by a passive infrared detection system", US Patent 5,119,069, July 10,1990.
- [11] Hershkovitz, Shmuel, "Integrating passive infrared intrusion detector", US Patent 5,077,549, July 10,1990.
- [12] Paradox Pro Plus (476+) Sensor Datasheet,
 http://www.paradox.com/Documentation/Manuals/InstallationProgramming/
 476PLUS-TI01.PDF, Paradox Security Systems, Quebec, Canada.
- [13] L. R. Rabiner, B. H. Juang, "An Introduction to Hidden Markov Models", IEEE Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ASSP) Magazine, vol. 3, page 4-16 January, 1986.
- [14] B. U. Toreyin, E. B. Soyer, I. Onaran, and A. E. Cetin, "Falling person detection using multi-sensor signal processing", *EURASIP Journal on Ad*vances in Signal Processing., 2008(1):1-10, 2008.
- [15] R. Collins, A. Lipton, and T. Kanade, A system for video surveillance and monitoring, In Proceedings of the 8-th International Topical Meeting on Robotics and Remote Systems. American Nuclear Society, April 25-29, 1999.
- [16] Fastcom Technology SA, 'Method and Device for Detecting Fires Based on Image Analysis", PCT Pubn.No. WO02/069292, CH-1006, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2002.

- [17] B. W. Albers, A. K. Agrawal, "Schlieren analysis of an oscillating gas-jet diffusion", *ICombust. Flame*, vol. 119, pp. 84–94, 1999.
- [18] W. Phillips III, M. Shah, and N. V. Lobo, "Flame recognition in video", Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 23, pp. 319–327, 2002.
- [19] T. Chen, P. Wu, and Y. Chiou, "An early fire-detection method based on image processing". InProceedings International Conference on Image Processing, pp. 1707–1710, 2004.
- [20] B. U. Toreyin, Y. Dedeoglu, U. Gudukbay, and A. E. Cetin, "Computer vision based system for real-time fire and flame detection", *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 27, pp. 49–58, 2006.
- [21] B. U. Toreyin, Y. Dedeoglu, A. E. Cetin, "HMM Based Falling Person Detection Using Both Audio and Video", in Proceedings of IEEE International Workshop on Human-Computer Interaction, Beijing, China, pp. 211–220, 2005.
- [22] F. Jabloun, A. E. Cetin, "The Teager energy based feature parameters for robust speech recognition in car noise", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing'99, pp. 273– 276, 1999.
- [23] H. Bunke and T. Caelli, HMMs Applications in Computer Vision., World Scientific, 2001.
- [24] L. R. Rabiner, B. H. Juang, Fundamentals of Speech Recognition, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1993.
- [25] E. Erzin, A. Cetin, and Y. Yardimci, "Subband analysis for robust speech recognition in the presence of car noise", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing'95, vol. 1, pp. 417–420, 1995.

- [26] R. Sarikaya, B. L. Pellom, and J. H. Hansen, "Wavelet Packet Transform Features with Application to Speaker Identification", in *in Proc. NORSIG* '98, 1998.
- [27] R. Sarikaya and J. N. Gowdy, "Subband Based Classification of Speech Under Stress", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP'98), pp. 596–572, 1998.
- [28] C.W. Kim, R. Ansari, and A. E. Cetin, "A class of linear-phase regular biorthogonal wavelets", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP '92), Vol. 4, pp. 673– 676, San Francisco, Calif., USA, March 1992.
- [29] M. Thuillard, "A new flame detector using the latest research on flames and fuzzy-wavelet algorithms", *Fire Safety Journal*, 37:371-380, 2002.
- [30] F. C. Carter, and N. Cross, "Combustion monitoring using infrared arraybased detectors", *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 14, pp. 1117– 1122, 2003.
- [31] M. Thuillard, "Method and Device for Detecting Fires Based on Image Analysis." US Patent 6,011,464, 1998.
- [32] Anderson and Moore, *Optimal Filtering*. Dover Publications, 1979. ISBN-10: 0486439380, ISBN-13: 978-0486439389.
- [33] D. Cao, O. Masoud, D. Boley, and N. Papanikolopoulos, "Online motion classification using support vector machines", in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, vol. 3, pages 2291–2296, May 2004.*
- [34] A. Hakeem and M. Shah, "Learning, detection and representation of multiagent events in videos", Artificial Intelligence, 171(8-9):586-605, 2007.

- [35] G. G. Medioni, I. Cohen, F. Bremond, S. Hongeng, and R. Nevatia, "Event detection and analysis from video streams", IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 23(8):873–889, 2001
- [36] R. A. Redner and H. F. Walker, "Mixture densities maximum likelihood, and the EM algorithm", SIAM Review, 26 April 1984.
- [37] D. M. Titterington, A. F. M. Smith and U. E. Makov, Statistical Analysis
 Of Finite Mixture Distributions. John Wiley & Sons, 1985.
- [38] Z. Xie, M.-L. Shyu, and S.-C. Chen, "Video event detection with combined distance-based and rule-based data mining techniques", in proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo, pages 2026–2029, july 2007.
- [39] P. Zappi, E. Farella, and L. Benini, "Enhancing the spatial resolution of presence detection in a pir based wireless surveillance network", in Proceedings of the IEEE International Conferance on Advanced Video and Signal based Surveillance, pp. 295–300, Sept. 5-7, 2007.
- [40] Kyoung Nam Ha, Kyung Chang Lee, Suk Lee "Development of PIR sensor based indoor location detection system for smart home", in proceedings of the SICE-ICASE International Joint Conference, pp. 2162–2167, Oct. 18-21, 2006.